arXiv:math/0511193v1 [math.AP] 8 Nov 2005

# NONLINEAR EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS IN SOBOLEV SPACES WITH VARIABLE EXPONENT

Teodora-Liliana Dinu

Department of Mathematics, "Fraţii Buzeşti" College, Bd. Ştirbei–Vodă No. 5, 200352 Craiova, Romania

E-mail: `tldinu@gmail.com`

ABSTRACT. We study the boundary value problem $-\mathrm{div}((|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2}+|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2})\nabla u)=f(x,u)$ in $\Omega$, $u=0$ on $\partial\Omega$, where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$. We focus on the cases when $f_\pm(x,u)=\pm(-\lambda|u|^{m(x)-2}u+|u|^{q(x)-2}u)$, where $m(x):=\max\{p_1(x),p_2(x)\}<q(x)<\frac{N\cdot m(x)}{N-m(x)}$ for any $x\in\overline{\Omega}$. In the first case we show the existence of infinitely many weak solutions for any $\lambda>0$. In the second case we prove that if $\lambda$ is large enough then there exists a nontrivial weak solution. Our approach relies on the variable exponent theory of generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces, combined with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-symmetric version for even functionals of the Mountain Pass Lemma and some adequate variational methods.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35D05, 35J60, 35J70, 58E05, 68T40, 76A02.

**Key words:** $p(x)$-Laplace operator, generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev space, critical point, weak solution, electrorheological fluids.

## 1 Introduction and preliminary results

Electrorheological fluids (sometimes referred to as "smart fluids"), are particular fluids of high technological interest whose apparent viscosity changes reversibly in response to an electric field. The electrorheological fluids have been intensively studied from the 1940's to the present. The first major discovery on electrorheological fluids is due to Willis M. Winslow [30]. He noticed that such fluids' (for instance lithium polymetachrylate) viscosity in an electrical field is inversely proportional to the strength of the field. The field induces string-like formations in the fluid, which are parallel to the field. They can raise the viscosity by as much as five orders of magnitude. This phenomenon is known as the Winslow effect. For a general account of the underlying physics confer [15] and for some technical applications [23]. We just remember that any device which currently depends upon hydraulics, hydrodynamics or hydrostatics can benefit from electrorheological fluids' properties. Consequently, electrorheological fluids are most promising in aircraft and aerospace applications. For more information on properties and the application of these fluids we refer to [1, 5, 15, 25].

The mathematical modelling of electrorheological fluids determined the study of variable Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{1,p(x)}$, where $p(x)$ is a real-valued function. Variable exponent Lebesgue spaces appeared in the literature for the first time already in a 1931 article by W. Orlicz [21]. In the years 1950 this study was carried on by Nakano [20] who made the first systematic study of spaces with variable exponent. Later, the Polish mathematicians investigated the modular function spaces (see, e.g., the basic monograph Musielak [19]). Variable exponent Lebesgue spaces on the real line have been independently developed by Russian researchers. In that context we refer to the work of Tsenov [28], Sharapudinov [26] and Zhikov [31, 32]. For deep results in weighted Sobolev spaces with applications to partial differential equations we refer to the excellent monographs by Drabek, Kufner and Nicolosi [6], by Hyers, Isac and Rassias [16], and by Kufner and Persson [18].

Our main purpose is to study the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}((|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2}+|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2})\nabla u)=f(x,u), & \text{for} \quad x\in\Omega \\ u=0, & \text{for} \quad x\in\partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ $(N\geq 3)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary and $1<p_i(x)$, $p_i(x)\in C(\overline{\Omega})$ for $i\in\{1,2\}$. We are looking for nontrivial weak solutions of Problem (1) in the generalized Sobolev space $W^{1,m(x)}(\Omega)$, where $m(x)=\max\{p_1(x),p_2(x)\}$ for any $x\in\overline{\Omega}$. We point out that problems of type (1) were intensively studied in the past decades. We refer to [3, 11, 12] for some interesting results.

We recall in what follows some definitions and basic properties of the generalized Lebesgue–Sobolev spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Set

$$C_+(\overline{\Omega})=\{h;\ h\in C(\overline{\Omega}),\ h(x)>1 \text{ for all } x\in\overline{\Omega}\}.$$

For any $h\in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ we define

$$h^+=\sup_{x\in\Omega}h(x) \qquad \text{and} \qquad h^-=\inf_{x\in\Omega}h(x).$$

For any $p(x)\in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, we define the variable exponent Lebesgue space

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\{u;\ u \text{ is a measurable real-valued function such that } \int_\Omega |u(x)|^{p(x)}\,dx<\infty\}.$$

We define a norm, the so-called *Luxemburg norm*, on this space by the formula

$$|u|_{p(x)}=\inf\left\{\mu>0;\ \int_\Omega\left|\frac{u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)}\,dx\leq 1\right\}.$$

Variable exponent Lebesgue spaces resemble classical Lebesgue spaces in many respects: they are Banach spaces [17, Theorem 2.5], the Hölder inequality holds [17, Theorem 2.1], they are reflexive if and only if $1<p^-\leq p^+<\infty$ [17, Corollary 2.7] and continuous functions are dense if $p^+<\infty$ [17, Theorem 2.11]. The inclusion between Lebesgue spaces also generalizes naturally [17, Theorem 2.8]: if $0<|\Omega|<\infty$ and $r_1$, $r_2$ are variable exponents so that $r_1(x)\leq r_2(x)$ almost everywhere in $\Omega$ then there exists the continuous embedding $L^{r_2(x)}(\Omega)\hookrightarrow L^{r_1(x)}(\Omega)$, whose norm does not exceed $|\Omega|+1$.

We denote by $L^{p^{'}(x)}(\Omega)$ the conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, where $1/p(x)+1/p^{'}(x)=1$. For any $u\in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v\in L^{p^{'}(x)}(\Omega)$ the Hölder type inequality

$$\left|\int_\Omega uv\,dx\right|\leq\left(\frac{1}{p^-}+\frac{1}{p^{'-}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^{'}(x)} \tag{2}$$

holds true.

An important role in manipulating the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces is played by the *modular* of the $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ space, which is the mapping $\rho_{p(x)}:L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\to\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\rho_{p(x)}(u)=\int_\Omega|u|^{p(x)}\,dx.$$

If $(u_n)$, $u\in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $p^+<\infty$ then the following relations hold true

$$|u|_{p(x)}>1\quad\Rightarrow\quad|u|_{p(x)}^{p^-}\leq\rho_{p(x)}(u)\leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^+} \tag{3}$$

$$|u|_{p(x)}<1\quad\Rightarrow\quad|u|_{p(x)}^{p^+}\leq\rho_{p(x)}(u)\leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^-} \tag{4}$$

$$|u_n-u|_{p(x)}\to0\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad\rho_{p(x)}(u_n-u)\to0. \tag{5}$$

Spaces with $p^+=\infty$ have been studied by Edmunds, Lang and Nekvinda [7].

Next, we define $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{p(x)}=|\nabla u|_{p(x)}.$$

The space $(W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega),\|\cdot\|_{p(x)})$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space. We note that if $q\in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ and $q(x)<p^\star(x)$ for all $x\in\overline{\Omega}$ then the embedding $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)\hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact and continuous, where $p^\star(x)=\frac{Np(x)}{N-p(x)}$ if $p(x)<N$ or $p^\star(x)=+\infty$ if $p(x)\geq N$. We refer to [8, 9, 10, 13, 17] for further properties of variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces.

**Remark 1.** *If $p_1(x)$, $p_2(x)\in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ it is clear that $m(x)\in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ where $m(x)=\max\{p_1(x),p_2(x)\}$ for any $x\in\overline{\Omega}$. On the other hand since $p_1(x)$, $p_2(x)\leq m(x)$ for any $x\in\overline{\Omega}$ it follows that $W_0^{1,m(x)}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $W_0^{1,p_i(x)}(\Omega)$ for $i\in\{1,2\}$.*

## 2 Main results

In this paper we study Problem (1) if $f(x,t)=\pm(-\lambda|t|^{m(x)-2}t+|t|^{q(x)-2}t)$, where

$$m(x):=\max\{p_1(x),p_2(x)\}<q(x)<\begin{cases}\dfrac{N\cdot m(x)}{N-m(x)} & \text{if } m(x)<N\\ +\infty & \text{if } m(x)\geq N\,,\end{cases}$$

for any $x\in\overline{\Omega}$ and all $\lambda>0$.

We first consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}((|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2}+|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2})\nabla u)=-\lambda|u|^{m(x)-2}u+|u|^{q(x)-2}u, & \text{for} \quad x\in\Omega \\ u=0, & \text{for} \quad x\in\partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

We say that $u\in W_0^{1,m(x)}(\Omega)$ is a *weak solution* of problem (6) if

$$\int_\Omega(|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2}+|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2})\nabla u\nabla v\,dx+\lambda\int_\Omega|u|^{m(x)-2}uv\,dx-\int_\Omega|u|^{q(x)-2}uv\,dx=0,$$

for all $v\in W_0^{1,m(x)}(\Omega)$.

We prove

**Theorem 1.** *For every $\lambda>0$ problem (6) has infinitely many weak solutions, provided that $2\le p_i^-$ for $i\in\{1,2\}$, $m^+<q^-$ and $q^+<\frac{N\cdot m^-}{N-m^-}$.*

Next, we study the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}((|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2}+|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2})\nabla u)=\lambda|u|^{m(x)-2}u-|u|^{q(x)-2}u, & \text{for} \quad x\in\Omega \\ u=0, & \text{for} \quad x\in\partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

We say that $u\in W_0^{1,m(x)}(\Omega)$ is a *weak solution* of problem (7) if

$$\int_\Omega(|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2}+|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2})\nabla u\nabla v\,dx-\lambda\int_\Omega|u|^{m(x)-2}uv\,dx+\int_\Omega|u|^{q(x)-2}uv\,dx=0,$$

for all $v\in W_0^{1,m(x)}(\Omega)$.

We prove

**Theorem 2.** *There exists $\lambda^\star>0$ such that for any $\lambda\ge\lambda^\star$ problem (7) has a nontrivial weak solution, provided that $m^+<q^-$ and $q^+<\frac{N\cdot m^-}{N-m^-}$.*

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

The key argument in the proof of Theorem 1 is the following $\mathbb{Z}_2$-symmetric version (for even functionals) of the Mountain Pass Lemma (see Theorem 9.12 in [24]):

**Theorem 3.** *Let $X$ be an infinite dimensional real Banach space and let $I\in C^1(X,\mathbb{R})$ be even, satisfying the Palais-Smale condition (that is, any sequence $\{x_n\}\subset X$ such that $\{I(x_n)\}$ is bounded and $I^{'}(x_n)\to c$ in $X^\star$ has a convergent subsequence) and $I(0)=0$. Suppose that*

*(I1) There exist two constants $\rho$, $a>0$ such that $I(x)\ge a$ if $\|x\|=\rho$.*

*(I2) For each finite dimensional subspace $X_1\subset X$, the set $\{x\in X_1;\ I(x)\ge0\}$ is bounded.*

*Then $I$ has an unbounded sequence of critical values.*

Let $E$ denote the generalized Sobolev space $W_0^{1,m(x)}(\Omega)$.

The energy functional corresponding to problem (6) is defined by $J_\lambda : E \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$J_\lambda(u) = \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p_1(x)} |\nabla u|^{p_1(x)}\, dx + \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p_2(x)} |\nabla u|^{p_2(x)}\, dx + \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{1}{m(x)} |u|^{m(x)}\, dx - \int_\Omega \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)}\, dx.$$

A simple calculation based on Remark 1, relations (3) and (4) and the compact embedding of $E$ into $L^{s(x)}(\Omega)$ for all $s \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ with $s(x) < m^\star(x)$ on $\overline{\Omega}$ shows that $J_\lambda$ is well-defined on $E$ and $J_\lambda \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ with the derivative given by

$$\langle J_\lambda'(u), v\rangle = \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2} + |\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2}) \nabla u \nabla v\, dx + \lambda \int_\Omega |u|^{m(x)-2} uv\, dx - \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)-2} uv\, dx,$$

for any $u$, $v \in E$. Thus the weak solutions of (6) are exactly the critical points of $J_\lambda$.

**Lemma 1.** *There exist $\eta > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $J_\lambda(u) \geq \alpha > 0$ for any $u \in E$ with $\|u\|_{m(x)} = \eta$.*

*Proof.* We first point out that since $m(x) = \max\{p_1(x), p_2(x)\}$ for any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ then

$$|\nabla u(x)|^{p_1(x)} + |\nabla u(x)|^{p_2(x)} \geq |\nabla u(x)|^{m(x)}, \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}. \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$|u(x)|^{q^-} + |u(x)|^{q^+} \geq |u(x)|^{q(x)}, \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}. \tag{9}$$

Using (8) and (9) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{\max\{p_1^+, p_2^+\}} \cdot \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{m(x)}\, dx - \frac{1}{q^-} \cdot \left( \int_\Omega |u|^{q^-}\, dx + \int_\Omega |u|^{q^+}\, dx \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m^+} \cdot \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{m(x)}\, dx - \frac{1}{q^-} \cdot \left( \int_\Omega |u|^{q^-}\, dx + \int_\Omega |u|^{q^+}\, dx \right), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for any $u \in E$.

Since $m^+ < q^- \leq q^+ < m^\star(x)$ for any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $E$ is continuously embedded in $L^{q^-}(\Omega)$ and in $L^{q^+}(\Omega)$ it follows that there exist two positive constants $C_1$ and $C_2$ such that

$$\|u\|_{m(x)} \geq C_1 \cdot |u|_{q^+}, \quad \|u\|_{m(x)} \geq C_2 \cdot |u|_{q^-}, \quad \forall u \in E. \tag{11}$$

Assume that $u \in E$ and $\|u\|_{m(x)} < 1$. Thus, by (4),

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{m(x)}\, dx \geq \|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^+}. \tag{12}$$

Relations (10), (11) and (12) yield

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{m^+} \cdot \|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^+} - \frac{1}{q^-} \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{1}{C_1} \cdot \|u\|_{m(x)} \right)^{q^+} + \left( \frac{1}{C_2} \cdot \|u\|_{m(x)} \right)^{q^-} \right] \\ &= (\beta - \gamma \cdot \|u\|_{m(x)}^{q^+ - m^+} - \delta \cdot \|u\|_{m(x)}^{q^- - m^+}) \cdot \|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^+} \end{aligned}$$

for any $u \in E$ with $\|u\|_{m(x)} < 1$, where $\beta$, $\gamma$ and $\delta$ are positive constants.

We remark that the function $g : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$g(t) = \beta - \gamma \cdot t^{q^+ - m^+} - \delta \cdot t^{q^- - m^+}$$

is positive in a neighborhood of the origin. We conclude that Lemma 1 holds true. $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let $E_1$ be a finite dimensional subspace of $E$. Then the set $S = \{u \in E_1;\ J_\lambda(u) \geq 0\}$ is bounded.*

*Proof.* In order to prove Lemma 2, we first show that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{p_1(x)} |\nabla u|^{p_1(x)}\, dx \leq K_1 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^+}), \quad \forall u \in E \tag{13}$$

where $K_1$ is a positive constant.

Indeed, using relations (3) and (4) we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p_1(x)}\, dx \leq |\nabla u|_{p_1(x)}^{p_1^-} + |\nabla u|_{p_1(x)}^{p_1^+} = \|u\|_{p_1(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u\|_{p_1(x)}^{p_1^+}, \quad \forall u \in E. \tag{14}$$

On the other hand, Remark 1 implies that there exists a positive constant $K_0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{p_1(x)} \leq K_0 \cdot \|u\|_{m(x)}, \quad \forall u \in E. \tag{15}$$

Inequalities (14) and (15) yield

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p_1(x)}\, dx \leq (K_0 \cdot \|u\|_{m(x)})^{p_1^-} + (K_0 \cdot \|u\|_{m(x)})^{p_1^+}, \quad \forall u \in E$$

and thus (13) holds true.

With similar arguments we deduce that there exists a positive constant $K_2$ such that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{p_2(x)} |\nabla u|^{p_2(x)}\, dx \leq K_2 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^+}), \quad \forall u \in E. \tag{16}$$

Using again (3) and (4) we have

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{m(x)}\, dx \leq |u|_{m(x)}^{m^-} + |u|_{m(x)}^{m^+}, \quad \forall u \in E.$$

Since $E$ is continuously embedded in $L^{m(x)}(\Omega)$, there exists of a positive constant $\overline{K}$ such that

$$|u|_{m(x)} \leq \overline{K} \cdot \|u\|_{m(x)}, \quad \forall u \in E.$$

The last two inequalities show that for each $\lambda > 0$ there exists a positive constant $K_3(\lambda)$ such that

$$\lambda \cdot \int_\Omega \frac{1}{m(x)} |\nabla u|^{m(x)}\, dx \leq K_3(\lambda) \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^+}), \quad \forall u \in E. \tag{17}$$

By inequalities (13), (16) and (17) we get

$$J_\lambda(u) \leq K_1 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^+}) + K_2 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^+}) + K_3(\lambda) \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^+}) - \frac{1}{q^+} \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)}\, dx,$$

for all $u \in E$.

Let $u \in E$ be arbitrary but fixed. We define

$$\Omega_< = \{x \in \Omega;\ |u(x)| < 1\}, \quad \Omega_\geq = \Omega \setminus \Omega_<.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) \quad &\leq \quad K_1 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^+}) + K_2 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^+}) + K_3(\lambda) \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^+}) - \\ &\quad\quad \frac{1}{q^+} \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)}\, dx \\ &\leq \quad K_1 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^+}) + K_2 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^+}) + K_3(\lambda) \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^+}) - \\ &\quad\quad \frac{1}{q^+} \int_{\Omega_\geq} |u|^{q(x)}\, dx \\ &\leq \quad K_1 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^+}) + K_2 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^+}) + K_3(\lambda) \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^+}) - \\ &\quad\quad \frac{1}{q^+} \int_{\Omega_\geq} |u|^{q^-}\, dx \\ &\leq \quad K_1 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^+}) + K_2 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^+}) + K_3(\lambda) \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^+}) - \\ &\quad\quad \frac{1}{q^+} \int_\Omega |u|^{q^-}\, dx + \frac{1}{q^+} \int_{\Omega_<} |u|^{q^-}\, dx. \end{aligned}$$

But there exists a positive constant $K_4$ such that

$$\frac{1}{q^+} \int_{\Omega_<} |u|^{q^-} \leq K_4, \quad \forall u \in E.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) \quad &\leq \quad K_1 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^+}) + K_2 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^+}) + K_3(\lambda) \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^+}) - \\ &\quad\quad \frac{1}{q^+} \int_\Omega |u|^{q^-}\, dx + K_4, \quad \forall u \in E. \end{aligned}$$

The functional $|\cdot|_{q^-} : E \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$|u|_{q^-} = \left( \int_\Omega |u|^{q^-}\, dx \right)^{1/q^-}$$

is a norm in $E$. In the finite dimensional subspace $E_1$ the norms $|\cdot|_{q^-}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{m(x)}$ are equivalent, so there exists a positive constant $K = K(E_1)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{m(x)} \leq K \cdot |u|_{q^-}, \quad \forall u \in E_1.$$

As a consequence we have that there exists a positive constant $K_5$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) \quad \leq \quad & K_1 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^+}) + K_2 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^+}) + K_3(\lambda) \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^+}) - \\ & K_5 \cdot \|u\|_{m(x)}^{q^-} + K_4, \quad \forall u \in E_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_1^+}) \quad & + \quad K_2 \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{p_2^+}) + K_3(\lambda) \cdot (\|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^-} + \|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^+}) \\ & - \quad K_5 \cdot \|u\|_{m(x)}^{q^-} + K_4 \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in S \end{aligned}$$

and since $q^- > m^+$ we conclude that $S$ is bounded in $E$. The proof of Lemma 2 is complete. ☐

**Lemma 3.** *Assume that* $\{u_n\} \subset E$ *is a sequence which satisfies the properties:*

$$|J_\lambda(u_n)| < M \tag{18}$$

$$J_\lambda'(u_n) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \tag{19}$$

*where* $M$ *is a positive constant. Then* $\{u_n\}$ *possesses a convergent subsequence.*

*Proof.* First, we show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $E$. Assume by contradiction the contrary. Then, passing eventually at a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_n\}$, we may assume that $\|u_n\|_{m(x)} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus we may consider that $\|u_n\|_{m(x)} > 1$ for any integer $n$.

By (19) we deduce that there exists $N_1 > 0$ such that for any $n > N_1$ we have

$$\|J_\lambda'(u_n)\| \leq 1.$$

On the other hand, for any $n > N_1$ fixed, the application

$$E \ni v \to \langle J_\lambda'(u_n), v \rangle$$

is linear and continuous. The above information yields

$$|\langle J_\lambda'(u_n), v \rangle| \leq \|J_\lambda'(u_n)\| \cdot \|v\|_{m(x)} \leq \|v\|_{m(x)}, \quad \forall v \in E, \; n > N_1.$$

Setting $v = u_n$ we have

$$-\|u_n\|_{m(x)} \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^{p_1(x)} \, dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^{p_2(x)} \, dx + \lambda \int_\Omega |u_n|^{m(x)} \, dx - \int_\Omega |u_n|^{q(x)} \, dx \leq \|u_n\|_{m(x)},$$

for all $n > N_1$. We obtain

$$-\|u_n\|_{m(x)} - \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^{p_1(x)} \, dx - \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^{p_2(x)} \, dx - \lambda \int_\Omega |u_n|^{m(x)} \, dx \leq - \int_\Omega |u_n|^{q(x)} \, dx \tag{20}$$

for any $n > N_1$.

Assuming that $\|u_n\|_{m(x)} > 1$, relations (18), (20) and (3) imply

$$\begin{aligned} M > J_\lambda(u_n) &\geq \left(\frac{1}{m^+} - \frac{1}{q^-}\right) \cdot \int_\Omega (|\nabla u_n|^{p_1(x)} + |\nabla u_n|^{p_2(x)})\, dx \\ &+ \lambda \cdot \left(\frac{1}{m^+} - \frac{1}{q^-}\right) \cdot \int_\Omega |u_n|^{m(x)}\, dx - \frac{1}{q^-} \cdot \|u_n\|_{m(x)} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{m^+} - \frac{1}{q^-}\right) \cdot \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^{m(x)}\, dx - \frac{1}{q^-}\|u_n\|_{m(x)} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{m^+} - \frac{1}{q^-}\right) \cdot \|u_n\|^{m^-}_{m(x)} - \frac{1}{q^-}\|u_n\|_{m(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ we obtain a contradiction. It follows that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $E$.

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $E$, there exist a subsequence, again denoted by $\{u_n\}$, and $u_0 \in E$ such that $\{u_n\}$ converges weakly to $u_0$ in $E$. Since $E$ is compactly embedded in $L^{m(x)}(\Omega)$ and in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ it follows that $\{u_n\}$ converges strongly to $u_0$ in $L^{m(x)}(\Omega)$ and $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. The above information and relation (19) imply

$$\langle J_\lambda^{'}(u_n) - J_\lambda^{'}(u_0), u_n - u_0\rangle \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega (|\nabla u_n|^{p_1(x)-2}\nabla u_n &+ |\nabla u_n|^{p_2(x)-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_0|^{p_1(x)-2}\nabla u_0 - |\nabla u_0|^{p_2(x)-2}\nabla u_0) \cdot (\nabla u_n - \nabla u_0)\, dx \\ &= \langle J_\lambda^{'}(u_n) - J_\lambda^{'}(u_0), u_n - u_0\rangle \\ &- \lambda \cdot \int_\Omega (|u_n|^{m(x)-1}u_n - |u_0|^{m(x)-1}u_0)(u_n - u_0)\, dx \\ &+ \int_\Omega (|u_n|^{q(x)-1}u_n - |u_0|^{q(x)-1}u_0)(u_n - u_0)\, dx \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Using the fact that $\{u_n\}$ converges strongly to $u_0$ in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ and inequality (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_\Omega (|u_n|^{q(x)-1}u_n - |u_0|^{q(x)-1}u_0)(u_n - u_0)\, dx\right| &\leq \left|\int_\Omega |u_n|^{q(x)-2}u_n(u_n - u_0)\, dx\right| \\ &+ \left|\int_\Omega |u_0|^{q(x)-2}u_0(u_n - u_0)\, dx\right| \\ &\leq C_3 \cdot ||u_n|^{q(x)-1}|_{\frac{q(x)}{q(x)-1}} \cdot |u_n - u_0|_{q(x)} \\ &+ C_4 \cdot ||u_0|^{q(x)-1}|_{\frac{q(x)}{q(x)-1}} \cdot |u_n - u_0|_{q(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3$ and $C_4$ are positive constants. Since $|u_n - u_0|_{q(x)} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ we deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega (|u_n|^{q(x)-1}u_n - |u_0|^{q(x)-1}u_0)(u_n - u_0)\, dx = 0. \tag{22}$$

With similar arguments we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega (|u_n|^{m(x)-1}u_n - |u_0|^{m(x)-1}u_0)(u_n - u_0)\, dx = 0. \tag{23}$$

By (21), (22) and (23) we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Omega(|\nabla u_n|^{p_1(x)-2}\nabla u_n + |\nabla u_n|^{p_2(x)-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_0|^{p_1(x)-2}\nabla u_0 - |\nabla u_0|^{p_2(x)-2}\nabla u_0)\cdot(\nabla u_n-\nabla u_0)\,dx = 0. \tag{24}$$

Next, we apply the following elementary inequality (see [4, Lemma 4.10])

$$(|\xi|^{r-2}\xi-|\psi|^{r-2}\psi)\cdot(\xi-\psi)\geq C\,|\xi-\psi|^r,\quad \forall r\geq 2,\ \xi,\psi\in\mathbb{R}^N. \tag{25}$$

Relations (24) and (25) yield

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Omega|\nabla u_n-\nabla u_0|^{p_1(x)}\,dx+\int_\Omega|\nabla u_n-\nabla u_0|^{p_2(x)}\,dx=0$$

or using relation (8) we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Omega|\nabla u_n-\nabla u_0|^{m(x)}\,dx=0.$$

That fact and relation (5) imply $\|u_n-u_0\|_{m(x)}\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$. The proof of Lemma 3 is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1 completed. It is clear that the functional $J_\lambda$ is even and verifies $J_\lambda(0)=0$. Lemma 3 implies that $J_\lambda$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. On the other hand, Lemmas 1 and 2 show that conditions (I1) and (I2) are satisfied. Applying Theorem 3 to the functional $J_\lambda$ we conclude that equation (6) has infinitely many weak solutions in $E$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete. □

# 4 Proof of Theorem 2

Define the energy functional associated to Problem (7) by $I_\lambda : E\to\mathbb{R}$,

$$I_\lambda(u)=\int_\Omega\frac{1}{p_1(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)}\,dx+\int_\Omega\frac{1}{p_2(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)}\,dx-\lambda\int_\Omega\frac{1}{m(x)}|u|^{m(x)}\,dx+\int_\Omega\frac{1}{q(x)}|u|^{q(x)}\,dx.$$

The same arguments as those used in the case of functional $J_\lambda$ show that $I_\lambda$ is well-defined on $E$ and $I_\lambda\in C^1(E,\mathbb{R})$ with the derivative given by

$$\langle I_\lambda^{'}(u),v\rangle=\int_\Omega(|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2}+|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2})\nabla u\nabla v\,dx-\lambda\int_\Omega|u|^{m(x)-2}uv\,dx+\int_\Omega|u|^{q(x)-2}uv\,dx,$$

for any $u$, $v\in E$. We obtain that the weak solutions of (7) are the critical points of $I_\lambda$.

This time our idea is to show that $I_\lambda$ possesses a nontrivial global minimum point in $E$. With that end in view we start by proving two auxiliary results.

**Lemma 4.** *The functional $I_\lambda$ is coercive on $E$.*

*Proof.* In order to prove Lemma 4 we first show that for any $a$, $b>0$ and $0<k<l$ the following inequality holds

$$a\cdot t^k-b\cdot t^l\leq a\cdot\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{k/(l-k)},\quad\forall\, t\geq 0. \tag{26}$$

Indeed, since the function

$$[0,\infty) \ni t \to t^{\theta}$$

is increasing for any $\theta > 0$ it follows that

$$a - b \cdot t^{l-k} < 0, \quad \forall\, t > \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{1/(l-k)},$$

and

$$t^k \cdot (a - b \cdot t^{l-k}) \leq a \cdot t^k < a \cdot \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{k/(l-k)}, \quad \forall\, t \in \left[0, \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{1/(l-k)}\right].$$

The above two inequalities show that (26) holds true.

Using (26) we deduce that for any $x \in \Omega$ and $u \in E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda}{m^-}|u(x)|^{m(x)} - \frac{1}{q^+}|u(x)|^{q(x)} &\leq \frac{\lambda}{m^-}\left[\frac{\lambda \cdot q^+}{m^-}\right]^{m(x)/(q(x)-m(x))} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{m^-}\left[\left(\frac{\lambda \cdot q^+}{m^-}\right)^{m^+/(q^- - m^+)} + \left(\frac{\lambda \cdot q^+}{m^-}\right)^{m^-/(q^+ - m^-)}\right] = \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is a positive constant independent of $u$ and $x$. Integrating the above inequality over $\Omega$ we obtain

$$\frac{\lambda}{m^-}\int_\Omega |u|^{m(x)}\, dx - \frac{1}{q^+}\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)}\, dx \leq \mathcal{D} \tag{27}$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is a positive constant independent of $u$.

Using inequalities (8) and (27) we obtain that for any $u \in E$ with $\|u\|_{m(x)} > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{m^+}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{m(x)}\, dx - \frac{\lambda}{m^-}\int_\Omega |u|^{m(x)}\, dx + \frac{1}{q^+}\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)}\, dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m^+}\|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^-} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{m^-}\int_\Omega |u|^{m(x)}\, dx - \frac{1}{q^+}\int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)}\, dx\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m^+}\|u\|_{m(x)}^{m^-} - \mathcal{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $I_\lambda$ is coercive and the proof of Lemma 4 is complete. □

**Lemma 5.** *The functional $I_\lambda$ is weakly lower semicontinuous.*

*Proof.* In a first instance we prove that the functionals $\Lambda_i : E \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Lambda_i(u) = \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p_i(x)}|\nabla u|^{p_i(x)}\, dx, \quad \forall\, i \in \{1,2\}$$

are convex. Indeed, since the function

$$[0,\infty) \ni t \to t^{\theta}$$

is convex for any $\theta > 1$, we deduce that for each $x \in \Omega$ fixed it holds that

$$\left|\frac{\xi + \psi}{2}\right|^{p_i(x)} \leq \left|\frac{|\xi| + |\psi|}{2}\right|^{p_i(x)} \leq \frac{1}{2}|\xi|^{p_i(x)} + \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^{p_i(x)}, \quad \forall \xi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ i \in \{1,2\}.$$

Using the above inequality we deduce that

$$\left|\frac{\nabla u+\nabla v}{2}\right|^{p_i(x)} \leq \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{p_i(x)}+\frac{1}{2}|\nabla v|^{p_i(x)}, \quad \forall u,v\in E,\ x\in\Omega,\ i\in\{1,2\}.$$

Multiplying with $\frac{1}{p_i(x)}$ and integrating over $\Omega$ we obtain

$$\Lambda_i\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right)\leq\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_i(u)+\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_i(v), \quad \forall u,v\in E,\ i\in\{1,2\}.$$

Thus $\Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda_2$ are convex. It follows that $\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2$ is convex

Next, we show that the functional $\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2$ is weakly lower semicontinuous on $E$. Taking into account that $\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2$ is convex, by Corollary III.8 in [2] it is enough to show that $\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2$ is strongly lower semicontinuous on $E$. We fix $u\in E$ and $\epsilon>0$. Let $v\in E$ be arbitrary. Since $\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2$ is convex and inequality (2) holds true we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\Lambda_1(v)+\Lambda_2(v) & \geq & \Lambda_1(u)+\Lambda_2(u)+\langle\Lambda_1^{'}(u)+\Lambda_2^{'}(u),v-u\rangle\\
& \geq & \Lambda_1(u)+\Lambda_2(u)-\displaystyle\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-1}|\nabla(v-u)|\,dx--\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-1}|\nabla(v-u)|\,dx\\
& \geq & \Lambda_1(u)+\Lambda_2(u)-D_1\cdot||\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-1}|_{\frac{p_1(x)}{p_1(x)-1}}\cdot|\nabla(u-v)|_{p_1(x)}-\\
& & D_2\cdot||\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-1}|_{\frac{p_2(x)}{p_2(x)-1}}\cdot|\nabla(u-v)|_{p_2(x)}\\
& \geq & \Lambda_1(u)+\Lambda_2(u)-D_3\cdot\|u-v\|_{m(x)}\\
& \geq & \Lambda_1(u)+\Lambda_2(u)-\epsilon
\end{array}$$

for all $v\in E$ with $\|u-v\|_{m(x)}<\epsilon/[||\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-1}|_{\frac{p_1(x)}{p_1(x)-1}}+||\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-1}|_{\frac{p_2(x)}{p_2(x)-1}}]$, where $D_1$, $D_2$ and $D_3$ are positive constants. It follows that $\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2$ is strongly lower semicontinuous and since it is convex we obtain that $\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2$ is weakly lower semicontinuous.

Finally, we remark that if $\{u_n\}\subset E$ is a sequence which converges weakly to $u$ in $E$ then $\{u_n\}$ converges strongly to $u$ in $L^{m(x)}(\Omega)$ and $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$. Thus, $I_\lambda$ is weakly lower semicontinuous. The proof of Lemma 5 is complete. $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. By Lemmas 4 and 5 we deduce that $I_\lambda$ is coercive and weakly lower semicontinuous on $E$. Then Theorem 1.2 in [27] implies that there exists $u_\lambda\in E$ a global minimizer of $I_\lambda$ and thus a weak solution of problem (7).

We show that $u_\lambda$ is not trivial for $\lambda$ large enough. Indeed, letting $t_0>1$ be a fixed real and $\Omega_1$ be an open subset of $\Omega$ with $|\Omega_1|>0$ we deduce that there exists $u_0\in C_0^\infty(\Omega)\subset E$ such that $u_0(x)=t_0$ for any $x\in\overline{\Omega}_1$ and $0\leq u_0(x)\leq t_0$ in $\Omega\setminus\Omega_1$. We have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
I_\lambda(u_0) & = & \displaystyle\int_\Omega\frac{1}{p_1(x)}|\nabla u_0|^{p_1(x)}\,dx+\int_\Omega\frac{1}{p_2(x)}|\nabla u_0|^{p_2(x)}\,dx-\lambda\int_\Omega\frac{1}{m(x)}|u_0|^{m(x)}\,dx+\int_\Omega\frac{1}{q(x)}|u_0|^{q(x)}\,dx\\
& \leq & L-\displaystyle\frac{\lambda}{m^+}\int_{\Omega_1}|u_0|^{m(x)}\,dx\\
& \leq & L-\displaystyle\frac{\lambda}{m^+}\cdot t_0^{m^-}\cdot|\Omega_1|
\end{array}$$

where $L$ is a positive constant. Thus, there exists $\lambda^\star > 0$ such that $I_\lambda(u_0) < 0$ for any $\lambda \in [\lambda^\star, \infty)$. It follows that $I_\lambda(u_\lambda) < 0$ for any $\lambda \geq \lambda^\star$ and thus $u_\lambda$ is a nontrivial weak solution of problem (7) for $\lambda$ large enough. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. □

# References

[1] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, Regularity results for a class of functionals with nonstandard growth, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **156** (2001), 121-140.

[2] H. Brezis, *Analyse fonctionnelle: théorie et applications*, Masson, Paris, 1992.

[3] J. Chabrowski and Y. Fu, Existence of solutions for $p(x)$-Laplacian problems on bounded domains, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, in press (doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.10.028).

[4] J. I. Díaz, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries. Elliptic Equations*, Research Notes in Mathematics, 106, Pitman, Boston, London, Melbourne, 1986.

[5] L. Diening, *Theorical and numerical results for electrorheological fluids*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Frieburg, Germany, 2002.

[6] P. Drabek , A. Kufner, and F. Nicolosi, *Quasilinear Elliptic Equations with Degenerations and Singularities*, Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, Vol. 5, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1997.

[7] D. E. Edmunds, J. Lang, and A. Nekvinda, On $L^{p(x)}$ norms, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **455** (1999), 219-225.

[8] D. E. Edmunds and J. Rákosník, Density of smooth functions in $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **437** (1992), 229-236.

[9] D. E. Edmunds and J. Rákosník, Sobolev embedding with variable exponent, *Studia Math.* **143** (2000), 267-293.

[10] X. Fan, J. Shen and D. Zhao, Sobolev Embedding Theorems for Spaces $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **262** (2001), 749-760.

[11] X. L. Fan and Q. H. Zhang, Existence of solutions for $p(x)$-Laplacian Dirichlet problem, *Nonlinear Anal* **52** (2003), 1843-1852.

[12] X. L. Fan, Q. H. Zhang, and D. Zhao, Eigenvalues of $p(x)$-Laplacian Dirichlet problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **302** (2005), 306-317.

[13] X. L. Fan and D. Zhao, On the Spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **263** (2001), 424-446.

[14] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, Berlin, 1998.

[15] T. C. Halsey, Electrorheological fluids, *Science* **258** (1992), 761-766.

[16] D. Hyers, G. Isac and T. Rassias, *Topics in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1997.

[17] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{1,p(x)}$, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **41** (1991), 592-618.

[18] A. Kufner and L.–E. Persson, *Weighted Inequalities of Hardy Type*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003.

[19] J. Musielak, *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1034, Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[20] H. Nakano, *Modulared Semi-ordered Linear Spaces*, Maruzen Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1950.

[21] W. Orlicz, Über konjugierte Exponentenfolgen, *Studia Math.* **3** (1931), 200-212.

[22] K. Perera, Multiple positive solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic boundary-value problems, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* **7** (2003), 1-5.

[23] C. Pfeiffer, C. Mavroidis, Y. Bar-Cohen, and B. Dolgin, Electrorheological fluid based force feedback device, in *Proceedings of the 1999 SPIE Telemanipulator and Telepresence Technologies VI Conference (Boston, MA)*, Vol. 3840 (1999), pp. 88-99.

[24] P. Rabinowitz, Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations, *Expository Lectures from the CBMS Regional Conference held at the University of Miami*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1984.

[25] M. Ruzicka, *Electrorheological Fluids Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[26] I. Sharapudinov, On the topology of the space $L^{p(t)}([0;1])$, *Matem. Zametki* **26** (1978), 613-632.

[27] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods: Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1996.

[28] I. Tsenov, Generalization of the problem of best approximation of a function in the space $L^s$, *Uch. Zap. Dagestan Gos. Univ.* **7** (1961), 25-37.

[29] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.

[30] W. M. Winslow, Induced Fibration of Suspensions, *J. Appl. Phys.* **20** (1949), 1137-1140.

[31] V. Zhikov, Averaging of functionals in the calculus of variations and elasticity, *Math. USSR Izy.* **29** (1987), 33-66.

[32] V. Zhikov, On passing to the limit in nonlinear variational problem, *Math. Sb.* **183** (1992), 47-84.